An Elementary Theory of Everything

Marco G. Giammarchi Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Sezione di Milano

Among my interests:

- Physics (professional)
- Philosophy
- Theology
- Buddhism
- Transcendental Meditation
- Veda Chanting

An amateur of knowledge (please bear with me)

Collaboration: L. Guzzardi, S. Olivares



- Physics as a fundamental knowledge: the quantum field concept
- Philosophy as the most general knowledge: the Western approach
- Philosophy in the Oriental approach: a synthesis

Physics

- Physics as a fundamental knowledge: the quantum field concept
- Philosophy as the most general knowledge: the Western approach
- Philosophy in the Oriental approach: a synthesis

Why physics is a fundamental knowledge?

Because it deals with exploring our categories of thinking, the ones that we use to organize the sensible experience: space and time



Key epistemological concepts in Modern Physics

Classical Newtonian Realistic World: an Aristetolic theory of becoming (as opposed to metaphysics).

A unique spacetime, universal lengths and times equal for everybody.

World of Special and General Relativity: no absolute concept of space and time

Our categories of space and time depend on:

- Motion of observers (Special Relativity, time dilation and length contraction)
- Mass-Energy and acceleration (General Relativity, gravitation as spacetime geometry)

It is still a realistic world – in the sense that any observers would make statements that are independent from him/her observing the system.

World of Quantum Mechanics: quantum fields (filling all of spacetime as most fundamental entities).

The world as representation

The existence of physical quantities depend – at the Quantum level – on the observer. Non-separation between Oberver and Reality. The Observer «creates» the physics reality.

M.G. Giammarchi – *Elementary philosophical and theological consequences of quantum mechanics* European Journal of Science and Theology vol. 11 (2015) pag. 47

Much ado about nothing. The Quantum Vacuum

The vacuum at work

A Feynman Diagram

Parmenides Vacuum: nothing.

Classical Vacuum: objective spacetime, like a theater stage with a clock (one clock for everybody)

General Relativity Vacuum: a spacetime for every observer, depending on the motion and the presence of gravitational fields and accelerations

Quantum Mechanics Vacuum: a Special Relativity vacuum (depending on the inertial motions) filled with virtual particles. The fluctuations of the Quantum Fields. These fluctuations are NOT independent from the Observer!



We don't have a Quantum Theory of Gravity ☺

Reality by itself does not exist

Vacuum effects experimentally confirmed:

- Renormalization Group Equation
- Casimir Effect
- Lamb Shift

The Cosmology (History of the Universe) Slide

Thermal History of the Universe and the CMB



LHC conference - Isfahan

Western	 Physics as a fundamental knowledge: the quantum field concept Philosophy as the most general knowledge: the Western approach
Philosophy	Philosophy in the Oriental approach: a synthesis

Greek Philosophy (quite obviously) : non-mythological look at reality as a whole

Key concept (E. Severino): the greek sense of becoming (in and out of nothing)

Philosophy as the Remedy against the anguish caused by the greek sense of becoming

The phase of the costruction of Classical Metaphysics

The Scholastic philosophical and theological synthesis

The philosophical critique to the Metaphysics, the concept of Cartesian doubting: the nonidentity of truth and certainty



Episthemic approach

The search for necessary and incontrovertible truth (episthemic search) has characterized most of Western Philosophy development.



Déscartes' work can be considered as the first critique to the episthemic approach

The birth of considering the problem of relation of our thinking to «reality» (whatever it means)

Reconstruction of an episthemic knowledge culminated in German idealism

Hegel form of Idealism: last universal episthemic synthesis

Famous Nietzsche criticism: the remedy is worst than the evil. Note: he died in 1900, the year of birth of Quantum Mechanics.

This criticism is inherently philosophical and can be turned into a revolution (E. Severino) in the sense of shaking the foundation: reconsidering the beginnin, the greek sense of becoming.



Oriental Philosophy

• Physics as a fundamental knowledge: the quantum field concept

- Philosophy as the most general knowledge: the Western approach
 - Philosophy in the Oriental approach: a synthesis

Vedic Philosophy (quite obviously)

Key concept: The Unity

Eastern Philosophy intrinsically mithological

One-level reality (as opposed to two levels as in the case of Aristotelic philosophy)

Everything is sacred in the world, but the structure of reality is not trivial (perceived through the veil of maya)



The mithological (katenotheistic) spirit is not very relevant from the epistemological viewpoint

Source of many concepts in Oriental Philosophy: Vedas

Early Vedic and Classical Period:

Layers of sacred literature:

• Samitha (the four Vedas) Sacrificial praise and hymns

• Brahmana Commentaries of the Vedas

• Aranyaka sacrificial hymns and commentaries

Upanishad
 Philosophical texts



Key concept: Yajna, the Vedic Sacrifice to generate harmony (internal and universal)

Vedic Sacrifice: repair from a fracture. The real fracture is the Multiplicity as coming from Oneness

Conclusion (or beginning)



My excellent friend, you are an Athenian, a citizen of this great city, so famous for wisdom and strength, and you take every care to be as well off as possible in money, reputation and place - then are you not ashamed not to take every care and tought for understanding, for truth, and for the soul, so that it may be perfect?

Plato, Apology (The Defense of Socrates)



Quantum Mechanics : best theory ever in terms of numerical predictions

Copenhagen Interpretation : (among other things) no sharp separation between observer and quantum system

Entanglement at long distance

Entanglement and classical size

Alternative approach (Bohmian): non local. Still viable.

Classical-Quantum boundary: decoherence as the candidate theory

How can a theory that can account with precision for almost everything we can measure still be deemed lacking?

The only "failure" of quantum theory is its inability to provide a natural framework that can accomodate our prejudices about the workings of the universe (W.H. Zurek). Or the workings of "us and the universe".